



Research Update:

City of Stockholm Ratings 'AAA/A-1+' Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Remains Negative

November 13, 2020

Overview

- We now expect central government support will shield Stockholm's tax-revenue growth, which combined with increased government grants will support strong, yet gradually weakening, performance over the coming two years.
- Although the city's efforts to contain its increasing debt is proceeding according to plan, we still believe the economic downturn could dent the city's ability to divestment assets and reduce investments.
- We are therefore maintaining our negative outlook on Stockholm, while affirming our 'AAA/A-1+' global scale issuer credit ratings and 'K-1' Nordic regional scale rating on the city.

Rating Action

On Nov. 13, 2020, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'AAA/A-1+' long- and short-term issuer credit ratings on the city of Stockholm. The outlook remains negative.

At the same time, we affirmed our 'K-1' Nordic regional scale rating on Stockholm.

Outlook

The negative outlook reflects the risk that the city's leadership might fail to contain Stockholm's rising debt stemming from large investment needs. A debt increase could, for instance, emanate from the COVID-19-induced economic downturn or large one-off investments in the medium term. This could, in our view, impair the city's ability to withstand a 'AAA' stress scenario.

Downside scenario

We could lower our ratings on Stockholm over the coming 18 months if the economic downturn or large one-off expenditure dents the city's prospects of maintaining strong cash flows, including proceeds from asset sales; and reducing capital spending, resulting in a significant increase of the

PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST

Erik A Karlsson

Stockholm + 46(0)84405924 erik.karlsson

@spglobal.com

SECONDARY CONTACT

Karin Erlander

London (44) 20-7176-3584 karin.erlander @spglobal.com

ADDITIONAL CONTACTS

Linus Bladlund

Stockholm + 46-8-440-5356 linus.bladlund @spglobal.com

EMEA Sovereign and IPF

SovereignIPF @spglobal.com city's debt beyond our expectations. We could also lower the ratings if long-term systemic support to the sector remains insufficent.

Upside scenario

We could revise the outlook to stable if the city remains committed to its fiscal strategy and manages to implement key infrastructure projects without a rapid accumulation of debt.

Rationale

We now forecast Stockholm will post relatively strong operating performance over the coming two years. This is because the city benefits from extensive central government support, including increased government grants, and stronger tax-revenue growth than we previously expected. We expect Stockholm will remain committed to its strategy on containing the increasing debt burden by divesting nonstrategic assets and prioritizing investments. That said, we believe the pandemic could potentially disrupt the city's plans.

On July 31, Finnish power group Fortum, which owns 50% of Stockholm Exergi Holding AB (publ) (BBB+/Stable/A-2) together with Stockholm, announced it will strategically assess its stake in the company, including a potential divestment. The city has not yet stated its position regarding the company in relation to Fortum's announcement. We will closely monitor how the company's ownership structure develops, since the outcome could have a significant impact on our forecasts for Stockholm.

Extraordinary government support mitigates risks from the pandemic, although long-term risks remain

The city's administration remains committed to financial sustainability, as shown for instance by its debt-containment strategy. Although Stockholm's budgetary conditions have strengthened thanks to increased government grants, we expect the city to act prudently to contain spending and maintain a sound fiscal position through 2022.

We consider the institutional framework to be generally supportive of Swedish local and regional governments (LRGs), but regard this trend as weakening. In recent years, the Swedish LRG sector's budgetary performance has deteriorated due to increasing expenditure--accentuated by central government policymaking--alongside insufficient central government compensation mechanisms and inadequate countermeasures by the LRGs. Nevertheless, we believe the institutional framework remains a key credit strength for Swedish LRGs. In our view, the framework displays a high degree of stability, and the sector's revenue and expenditure management are based on a far-reaching equalization system and autonomy in setting local taxes. Furthermore, the central government's swift response to the COVID-19 pandemic, illustrated for instance by increased grants, supports our opinion.

Stockholm benefits from Sweden's comparably high wealth, reflected in our estimate of 2020 national GDP per capita at a high \$51,500. So far, the Swedish economy has been relatively resilient, and we forecast GDP will contract by about 5.0% in 2020, compared with 7.4% in the eurozone. To date, the capital region of Stockholm has been hardest hit in Sweden by the pandemic, with unemployment rising to 9.1% in September 2020 from 6.2% at year-end 2019. We believe the city's local economy remains strong and resilient, due to its diversity as a financial center in the Nordics and the home of many of Sweden's largest companies, which we believe will

be key in the recovery after the pandemic.

Government support has eased the pressure on operating performance, although the pandemic could disrupt the city's plan to contain rising debt

Compared with six months ago, we now expect Stockholm will post stronger, yet gradually decreasing, operating results through 2022. We believe Stockholm will benefit from increased central government grants and relatively strong tax-revenue growth. We also forecast lower expenditure growth in 2020, partly because the central government will compensate the city for COVID-19 related health care expenditure. Consequently, we believe Stockholm will post very strong results in 2020. We believe grant transfers will gradually reduce over the forecast period, and the city will continue to receive lower dividends from its subsidiaries, leading to slower growth of operating revenue in 2021 and 2022. This, combined with higher operating spending, partly related to higher welfare expenditure, will weigh on Stockholm's operating margin.

We forecast the city will post smaller deficits after capital accounts in 2020-2022 compared with the past two years. This will reflect Stockholm's asset-management strategy, implying stricter prioritization of investments, and divestment of nonstrategic assets. Subsequently, we expect lower capital spending for both the city (including the borrowing needs of the school property company SISAB) and its subsidiaries. We understand the divestment of nonstrategic assets relates mainly to the real estate and housing companies.

We believe this supports our view that Stockholm benefits from above-average revenue flexibility. owing mainly to its ability and willingness to divest assets to support fiscal sustainability, but also to its low tax rate (the fourth lowest in Sweden). The market value of Stockholm's real estate assets alone is estimated to exceed Swedish krona (SEK) 200 billion (about \$21 billion) as of Dec. 31, 2019. In addition to real estate, the city owns one of Sweden's largest harbors and has a 50% share in the energy company Stockholm Exergi.

Despite reduced borrowing needs, we expect the city's debt will increase to relatively high levels in 2022, although slower than a few years ago, thanks to management's efforts on prioritizing investments and divesting assets. That said, weakened economic conditions since the pandemic began could impair the city's ability to divest assets and contain debt.

Stockholm has lent the proceeds of its outstanding debt to its companies. We consider that borrowings by the housing companies and water and waste company mitigate the city's relatively high debt burden, owing to the companies' strong business profiles and financial positions. Adjusted for their relative share of the company sector's liability toward the city, we estimate Stockholm's debt totals about 45% of operating revenue. Furthermore, we regard the city's contingent liabilities, including extended guarantees and its ownership of Stockholm Exergi, as limited.

As we expected, Stockholm's liquidity position has structurally improved. We estimate the city's liquidity sources will cover average upcoming debt service by more than 200% over the next 12 months. In our view, Stockholm has prudent liquidity policies in place, and we expect the city treasury to counterbalance any liquidity pressure as maturities increase in 2022. Moreover, like other Swedish LRGs, Stockholm benefits from strong and reliable access to capital markets, even during strained credit conditions.

As liquidity sources, we include SEK11.8 billion of cash, contracted and available facilities with banks and multilateral lending institutions, and capital cash inflows, including from SISAB. Furthermore, we estimate the city will pay an average of about SEK4.8 billion of maturing debt and interest over the coming 12 months.

Key Statistics

Table 1

City of Stockholm Selected Indicators

	Year ended Dec. 31						
Mil. SEK	2017	2018	2019	2020bc	2021bc	2022bc	
Operating revenue	56,667	59,129	59,680	61,412	63,202	63,758	
Operating expenditure	53,616	56,075	56,930	57,874	59,626	60,818	
Operating balance	3,051	3,054	2,750	3,538	3,575	2,940	
Operating balance (% of operating revenues)	5.4	5.2	4.6	5.8	5.7	4.6	
Capital revenue	4,944	3,221	2,943	2,500	3,150	2,800	
Capital expenditure	7,499	8,651	9,037	7,679	6,408	7,145	
Balance after capital accounts	496	(2,376)	(3,344)	(1,641)	318	(1,404)	
Balance after capital accounts (% of total revenues)	0.8	(3.8)	(5.3)	(2.6)	0.5	(2.1)	
Debt repaid	5,399	5,462	5,627	10,487	3,162	8,436	
Gross borrowings	7,917	14,116	14,511	17,894	5,834	12,876	
Balance after borrowings	364	520	(50)	50	0	(0)	
Direct debt (outstanding at year-end)	38,655	48,217	57,101	64,508	67,180	71,620	
Direct debt (% of operating revenues)	68.2	81.5	95.7	105.0	106.3	112.3	
Tax-supported debt (outstanding at year-end)	38,655	48,217	57,101	64,508	67,180	71,620	
Tax-supported debt (% of consolidated operating revenues)	55.7	66.5	78.2	86.2	87.5	92.2	
Interest (% of operating revenues)	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.8	
Local GDP per capita (SEK)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
National GDP per capita (SEK)	462,734	477,094	490,830	471,139	496,002	515,648	

The data and ratios above result in part from S&P Global Ratings' own calculations, drawing on national as well as international sources, $reflecting \ S\&P\ Global\ Ratings'\ independent\ view\ on\ the\ time liness,\ coverage,\ accuracy,\ credibility,\ and\ usability\ of\ available\ information.$ The main sources are the financial statements and budgets, as provided by the issuer. bc--Base case reflects S&P Global Ratings' expectations of the most likely scenario. SEK--Swedish krona. N/A--Not applicable.

Ratings Score Snapshot

Table 2

City of Stockholm Ratings Score Snapshot

Key rating factors	Scores
Institutional framework	1
Economy	1
Financial management	1

Table 2

City of Stockholm Ratings Score Snapshot (cont.)

Key rating factors	Scores
Budgetary performance	2
Liquidity	1
Debt burden	2
Stand-alone credit profile	ааа
Issuer credit rating	AAA

S&P Global Ratings bases its ratings on non-U.S. local and regional governments (LRGs) on the six main rating factors in this table. In the "Methodology For Rating Local And Regional Governments Outside Of The U.S.," published on July 15, 2019, we explain the steps we follow to derive the global scale foreign currency rating on each LRG. The institutional framework is assessed on a six-point scale: 1 is the strongest and 6 the weakest score. Our assessments of economy, financial management, budgetary performance, liquidity, and debt burden are on a five-point scale, with 1 being the strongest score and 5 the weakest.

Key Sovereign Statistics

Sovereign Risk Indicators, Oct. 12, 2020. An interactive version is available via www.spratings.com/sri

Related Criteria

- Criteria | Governments | International Public Finance: Methodology For Rating Local And Regional Governments Outside Of The U.S., July 15, 2019
- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings, April 7, 2017
- General Criteria: Principles Of Credit Ratings, Feb. 16, 2011

Related Research

- Extra funding in Sweden's 2021 Budget will Support LRGs, Sept. 24, 2020
- European Local And Regional Government Risk Indicators, June 30, 2020
- COVID-19: Fiscal Response Will Lift Local And Regional Government Borrowing To Record High, June 9, 2020
- Stockholm Exergi Holding AB (publ), June 3, 2020
- COVID-19 Could Further Strain Swedish LRGs' Budgets, May 20, 2020
- Swedish Government To Mitigate Impact From Coronavirus On Local And Regional Governments, March 11, 2020
- Public Finance System Overview: Swedish Municipalities And Regions, Dec. 3, 2019
- Sweden's Local Governments To Get A Slight Boost From 2020 Budget, Sept. 20, 2019
- Default, Transition, and Recovery: 2018 Annual International Public Finance Default Study And Rating Transition Study, Aug. 19, 2019

Research Update: City of Stockholm Ratings 'AAA/A-1+' Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Remains Negative

- Institutional Framework Assessments For International Local And Regional Governments, July 4.2019

In accordance with our relevant policies and procedures, the Rating Committee was composed of analysts that are qualified to vote in the committee, with sufficient experience to convey the appropriate level of knowledge and understanding of the methodology applicable (see 'Related Criteria And Research'). At the onset of the committee, the chair confirmed that the information provided to the Rating Committee by the primary analyst had been distributed in a timely manner and was sufficient for Committee members to make an informed decision.

After the primary analyst gave opening remarks and explained the recommendation, the Committee discussed key rating factors and critical issues in accordance with the relevant criteria. Qualitative and quantitative risk factors were considered and discussed, looking at track-record and forecasts.

The committee's assessment of the key rating factors is reflected in the Ratings Score Snapshot above.

The chair ensured every voting member was given the opportunity to articulate his/her opinion. The chair or designee reviewed the draft report to ensure consistency with the Committee decision. The views and the decision of the rating committee are summarized in the above rationale and outlook. The weighting of all rating factors is described in the methodology used in this rating action (see 'Related Criteria And Research').

Ratings List

Ratings Affirmed

Stockholm (City of)			
Issuer Credit Rating	AAA/Negative/A-1+		
Nordic Regional Scale	//K-1		
Senior Unsecured	AAA		
Commercial Paper	A-1+		

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. A description of each of S&P Global Ratings' rating categories is contained in "S&P Global Ratings Definitions" at https://www.standardandpoors.com/en_US/web/guest/article/-/view/sourceld/504352 Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column. Alternatively, call one of the following S&P Global Ratings numbers: Client Support Europe (44) 20-7176-7176; London Press Office (44) 20-7176-3605; Paris (33) 1-4420-6708; Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-225; Stockholm (46) 8-440-5914; or Moscow 7 (495) 783-4009.



Copyright © 2020 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.